

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,299	08/19/2003	Bettina Steinmann	USA.353	6650	
22514 7.	590 11/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3D SYSTEMS, INC.			TENTONI, LEO B		
26081 AVENU VALENCIA, (			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			1732		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/07/2001	DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/644,299	STEINMANN ET AL.	STEINMANN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address -		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN INC. IN IT IS I	G DATE OF THIS COMMU FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on this action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in the cl	This action is non-final. $_{j}$ owance except for formal r	·	s is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-42</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	drawn from consideration.	·		
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or b) object	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business of the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received priority documents have b ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infon	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date 08212003;09112003;11082004; i	8) Paper B/08) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/644,299

Art Unit: 1732

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a stereolithography process, classified in class 264, subclass 401.
  - II. Claims 22-42, drawn to a composition, classified in class 522, subclass 71.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another and materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in another and materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in another and materially different process such as a casting, extrusion or injection molding process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by

Application/Control Number: 10/644,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. During a telephone conversation with Ralph D'Alessandro, applicant's representative, on 04 October 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 22-42 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is a period missing at the end of claim 2. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1732

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, step (e), the expression "repeating steps (3) and (4)" renders the claim indefinite principally because there are no previously-recited steps (3) and (4) (it appears that applicant is referring to steps (c) and (d)).

In claim 3, line 1, the expression "component (A)" renders the claim indefinite principally because there is no previously-recited component (A) (it appears that applicant is referring to component (a)).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the

Application/Control Number: 10/644,299

Art Unit: 1732

effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haruta et al (EP 0830928 B1).

Haruta et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0011] - [0016] and [0031] - [0084]) teach a stereolithography process as set forth in the instant claims, including the use of a liquid radiation-curable composition having at least one filler comprising silica-type nanoparticles.

11. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe et al (EP 0831373 A2).

Watanabe et al (see the entire document, in particular, page 3, line 21 to page 10, line 26) teach a stereolithography process as set forth in the instant claims, including the use of a liquid radiation-curable composition having at least one filler comprising silica-type nanoparticles.

12. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Napadensky et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0207959 A1).

Napadensky et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0010] and [0126] - [0130]) teach a process of making a three-dimensional object as set forth in the instant claims, including the use of a liquid radiation-curable composition

Application/Control Number: 10/644,299

Art Unit: 1732

having at least one filler comprising silica-type nanoparticles. While Napadensky et al is primarily directed to three-dimensional printing (to make the three-dimensional object), Napadensky et al do also teach stereolithography as a process for making a three-dimensional object.

Page 6

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Art Unit: 1732

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

15. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Napadensky et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0207959 A1).

Napadensky et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0010] and [0126] - [0130]) teach a process of making a three-dimensional object as set forth in the instant claims, including the use of a liquid radiation-curable composition having at least one filler comprising silica-type nanoparticles, by three-dimensional printing. However, the claimed process would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Napadensky et al principally because Napadensky et al also teach stereolithography as a process for making a three-dimensional object.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,299 Page 8

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo B. Tentoni
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

lbt